Properties of schemes (see Har II3, Shaf I3.3-3.5)

Def: A scheme (X, O_X) is <u>reduce</u>d if $O_X(U)$ has no nilpotents for each open UEX, or equivalently (by a HW problem), if $O_{X,P}$ has no nilpotents for each $P \in X$.

Each scheme has an associated reduced scheme w/ The same underlying topological space

$$X_{red} := (X_{red})_{red}$$

where $(O_X)_{red}$ is the sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto O_{x}(u)/n$$

where \mathcal{M} is the nilradical of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$.

We can learn about the geometry of a scheme X hear a point by looking at morphisms from a nonreduced point to X:

let $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$, and $\operatorname{Pe} X$. Then the natural mop $R \longrightarrow k(P) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{\operatorname{PRP}}$

corresponds to the inclusion of the point speck(P) <> X with image P.

is equivalent to the choice of a (closed) k-point.

Now, set
$$D = \frac{k[\epsilon]}{(\epsilon^2)}$$
, which has k-basis 1, ϵ .

Then a map Spec
$$D \rightarrow Spec R$$
 corresponds to
 $4: R \rightarrow \frac{k[\epsilon]}{(\epsilon^2)}.$

Let $m = q^{-1}((\varepsilon))$. Thus m is the k-point in the image of SpecD, and 4 factors as



The restriction $m_{m^2} \rightarrow k \oplus \epsilon k$ then has image $\epsilon k \stackrel{\circ}{=} k$, which thus gives an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_k(m_m^2, k)$, i.e. a tangent vector!

Conversely, given a k-point p and a tangent vector $\alpha \in Hom_k \left(\frac{m_p}{m_p^2}, k \right)$, define a homomorphism

$$R/m_{p}^{2} \longrightarrow R[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^{2})$$

such that $f \longmapsto f(P) + \alpha (f - f(P))\varepsilon$. (Check that This is a homomorphism)
image of
f in quotient
 $R/m_{p} = k$
Then take $R \longrightarrow k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^{2})$ to be, the composition with

Then take $R \longrightarrow k[\epsilon]_{(\epsilon^2)}$ to be the composition with The quotient.

Thus, a map of schemes
$$\operatorname{Spec} \binom{\Bbbk \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}}{(\mathbb{C}^n)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{R}$$
 is
the same as a choice of a k-point of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{R}$
together with a tangent vector at that point.

which is each just a point w/ more and more extra information.

Ex: Let
$$R = k[x,y]$$
, $m = (x,y)$. Then the map
 $R \rightarrow \frac{R}{m^2}$ sends
 $f \longmapsto f(o,o) + f_x(o,o) + f_y(o,o)y$
 $p_{av}^T tial$
derivative
So spec $\frac{R}{m^2}$ retains the value of a function at

spec R/m³ retains The value of its second derivs as well, etc.

side note: The inverse limit of these rings is the completion of R at m:

$$R := \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{R}{m^{i}} \leq \prod_{i \to \infty} \frac{R}{m^{i}}$$

Spec R isn't a subscheme of Spec R, but it's called an "analytic heighborhood" of m since it retains The info of all the partial derivatives.

Def: A scheme X is irreducible if its topological space is irreducible. i.e. it can't be written as the union of two proper closed sets.

Def: X is integral if for every open $U \in X$, $\mathcal{O}_{x}(u)$ is on integral domain.

Ex: For X= Spec R an affine scheme:

- X is irreducible → n = √(0) is prime
- X is reduced (=> N = O (Do you see why?)
- X is integral <=> R is an integral domain

Prop: A scheme X is integral (=> it's reduced and irreducible.

If it's reducible as $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, take $U_1 = X \setminus X_1$, $U_2 = X \setminus X_2$, two disjoint open sets. Then $O(U_1 \cup U_2) = O(U_1) \times O(U_2)$, which isn't an integral domain, since $(1.0) \cdot (0,1) = 0$. Thus, X is irreducible.

(
$$\in$$
) Now assume reduced and irreducible. Let
U $\subseteq X$ be open and assume f, g $\in O(u)$ st. fg=0.

Let
$$Y = \{x \in U \mid f_x \in m_x\}, Z = \{x \in U \mid g_x \in m_x\}$$

indermo
indermo
Stark
For any affine open $V = \text{Spec } A \subseteq U$, we have
 $(f|_V)_x \in m_x \iff f|_V \in x \iff x \in V(f|_V)$. Thus,
 $Y \cap V = V(f) \text{ and } Z \cap V = V(g)$. So Z and Y are
closed.

Moreover, at each
$$x \in U$$
, $f_{xg_x} = 0 \in m_x$, so f_x or $g_x \in m_x$.
Thus $Y \cup Z = U$.

But X is irreducible, so U is as well. (Do you see why?)

Thus, WLOG, Y=U. But then in A, f is in every prime ideal, so f is nilpotent, so f=0. Thus, X is integral.

Def: A scheme X is <u>locally Noetherian</u> if it can be covered by affine opens SpecAi, where each Ai is Noetherian. (Equivalently, for every affine open U=specA, A is Noetherian. - Details in Hartshorne.)

If X is also quasicompact, then it's <u>Noetherian</u>. (Equivalently X Noetherian =) it can be covered by finitely many open affines SpecA; W/ each A; Noetherian)

In particular, SpecA is Noetherian (=> A is Noetherian.

Def: A morphism f: X → Y of schemes is <u>locally of</u> <u>finite type</u> if for every affine open SpecB⊆Y, f⁻¹ (specB) can be covered by affine opens SpecA; s.t. each A; is a f.g. B-algebra. f is of <u>finite type</u> if we can choose only finitely many SpecA;.

Note: Most "geometric" examples of morphisms will be of finite type. We have the following: Prop: If 4:B→A is a ring homomorphism, then A is a f.g. B-algebra SpecA → SpecB is of finite type. (Exercise — see Shaf)

Def: A morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is <u>finite</u> if for every affine open Spec $B \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(Spec B) \cong Spec A$ for some A and A is a f.g. B-module. (Note: this is much stronger than f.g. B-algebra.)

Remark: For (locally) finite type and finite, we can instead replace all open affines in Y with those in an open cover.

<u>Claim</u>: Finiteness is transitive: i.e. the composition of finite morphisms is finite. This is because finite generation of modules is transitive.

EX: For any surjective hing map B→>A, Spec A → Spec B is finite.

EX: Consider the map Spec $k[x,y] \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[t]$ given by the ring map $t \mapsto x$. This isn't finite!

However, Spec
$$k[x,y]_{(x^2-y^2)} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[t]$$

via $t \mapsto x$ is:
 $k[x,y]_{(x^2-y^2)} = k[t]I + k[t]x$

- Ex: If X→speck is finite, then X is finite.(HW) Idea: X must be SpecA, so A is a finite dim k-vector space.
 - Claim: For PespecA, A/p is a field.

Thus, all ideals are maximal. Apply Chinese remainder Theorem and bound # of max'l ideals. (Alternately, can show A is Artinian, which implies Spec A is finite - requires more CA. We'll prove this in 523.)

Def: An open subscheme of X is a scheme U s.t. U is open in X, and $\mathcal{O}_{u} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}|_{u}$. An open immersion is a morphism $f: X \to Y$ which induces an isomorphism $(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \to (f(X), \mathcal{O}_{Y}|_{f(X)})$ Where $f(X) \subseteq Y$ is open.

Ex: consider the open immersion

$$A_{\mu}^{2} - \{(x,y)\} \rightarrow A_{\mu}^{2}$$
.

This is not finite since A_k^2 minus the origin is not affine! (Otherwise, since the induced map on global sections is an isomorphism, the immersion itself must be an isomorphism, which it's not.)

However, it is of finite type: take the covering

$$D(x) \cup D(y) = A_{R}^{2} - \{(x, y)\}$$

$$Spec^{k}(x, y)_{x} \quad Spec^{k}(x, y)_{y}$$

$$k[x, y][x] \quad k[x, y][y]$$

$$f-g \quad k[x, y] - algebras$$

Def: A <u>closed immersion</u> is a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that the image is closed, f induces a homeomorphism of Y onto its image, and the induced map $f^{\#}: \mathfrak{S}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_X \mathfrak{O}_Y$ is surjective. A <u>closed subscheme</u> of X is an equivalence class of closed immersions, where $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to $f: Y' \rightarrow X$ if there's an isomorphism $i: Y \rightarrow Y'$ s.t. f'oi = f

The notion of closed subscheme is subtle!

Ex: let R = k[x,y]. Then R→s R/(x) corresponds to the closed immersion of the y-axis into /A². However, Take R ->> R/(x2). The set -Theoretic image of the Spec map is the same, but it gives a hon-reduced structure on the y-axis.

 $R \rightarrow \frac{R}{(x^2, xy)}$ corresponds to a different closed subsch. structure on the y-axis! since



the dimension of the tangent space at (x,y) is one in the first example and 2 in the others.

In general, if Y = X is closed, there will be a unique "smallest" closed subscheme structure on Y, called The reduced induced closed subscheme structure on Y. If X = SpecA, and Y = V(I), it is given by $Spec A/\sqrt{T} \rightarrow SpecA$. For an arbitrary scheme X, it is given by glueing the subschemes obtained from X: NY for X: open affines.

Def: The dimension of a scheme X is the supremum of lengths of chains of closed irreducible subsets:

$$\phi \neq X_0 \neq X_1 \neq \dots \neq X_n$$

If X=SpecR, Then dimX=dimR.

If $Z \subseteq X$ is an irreducible closed subset, then the codimension of Z in X is the supremum of lengths of chains of closed irreducible subsets

IF YEX is any closed subset of X (possibly reducible) then codim (Y,X) = inf codim (Z,X) ZEY closed, irreducible